1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	STATE OF C	LALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 905-A	
13	DAVID ALAN JOLLY 3890 Vista Campana S, Unit 97	ACCUSATION	
14	Oceanside, CA 92057		
15	Land Surveyor License No. L 7672		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. David E. Brown (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors,		
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about July 28, 2000, the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors		
24	issued Land Surveyor License Number L 7672 to David Alan Jolly (Respondent). The Land		
25	Surveyor License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein		
26	and will expire on December 31, 2010, unless renewed.		
27			
28	///		

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Code section 8780 states, in pertinent part, that

The Board may receive and investigate complaints against licensed land surveyors and registered civil engineers, and make findings thereon. By majority vote, the Board may reprove, suspend for a period not to exceed two years, or revoke the license or certificate of any licensed land surveyor licensed under this chapter whom it finds to be guilty of:

- (a) Any fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation in his or her practice of land surveying.
- (b) Any negligence or incompetence in his or her practice of land surveying.

. . .

(d) Any violation of any provision of this chapter or of any other law relating to or involving the practice of land surveying.

. . . .

(g) A breach or violation of a contract to provide land surveying services.

REGULATION

6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 476(c)(10) provides in pertinent part:

A licensee shall not falsely or maliciously injure or attempt to injure the reputation or business of others.

COST RECOVERY

7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

1

5

8

12 13

11

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

26

27

28

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Negligence and Incompetence)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(b) in that Respondent was negligent and incompetent in the practice of land surveying when he failed to adequately research the location of monuments or survey adequately to find additional monuments on the properties located at 630, 640, and 650 Vermont Avenue in Escondido. California (Vermont Avenue project), and for failing to understand the basic surveying principles of establishing a line and in searching for monuments. The circumstances are as follows:
- In September 2004, Charles R., a licensed Professional Engineer, hired Respondent to perform land surveying services on the Vermont Avenue project. Respondent was to perform the field survey work and a Boundary Survey for the Vermont Avenue project and then Charles R. would use the results to prepare the Parcel Map and Record of Survey for the Vermont Avenue project. Respondent failed to provide a complete survey of the entire block on the Vermont Avenue project and failed to follow Charles R.'s directions in conducting and analyzing the boundary, topography, and street cross sections survey. Respondent also wrote letters to Charles R.'s clients demeaning Charles R.'s qualifications and professional judgment as an engineer.
- Charles R. used Respondent's boundary establishment in his Record of Survey on the Vermont Avenue project and filed it with the San Diego County Surveyor's Office. Upon review, the San Diego County Surveyor's office requested additional survey points be found or established to justify Respondent's boundary establishment for the Vermont Avenue properties. The County Surveyor's Office found that Respondent showed the monument for Vermont Avenue over half a foot off the right-of-way line, while PM 17117 showed Vermont Avenue on the right-of-way line. The County requested that Respondent tie another record monument per Record of Survey 12591 in order to verify the location of Vermont Avenue. Respondent refused to perform additional survey work that was required to address the County Surveyor's comments. Charles R. hired Chris C., another licensed land surveyor. Chris C. resurveyed the property and

found the County's comments to be correct; the referenced monument was on the right-of-way line of Vermont Avenue, not over a half-foot off the right-of-way line as shown on Respondent's work. Charles R. used Chris C.'s survey to prepare his Parcel Map for the Vermont Avenue project which was eventually recorded with the monument shown on the right-of-way line.

 Respondent was also negligent in not finding a right-of-way/lot corner monument at the northerly corner of the intersection of Vermont Avenue and Redwood Street that the subsequent land surveyor, Chris C. was able to find.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraud, Deceit or Misrepresentation)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(a) in that Respondent committed fraud, deceit or misrepresentation in the practice of professional land surveying as follows:
- a. Respondent misrepresented to the Board that he was not hired to perform a Boundary Survey for the Vermont Avenue project. Respondent represented to the Board that he was only to perform preliminary research for a possible parcel map; however documentation, including Respondent's proposal to Charles R., indicates Respondent was hired to perform a boundary survey.
- b. After Respondent's refusal to address the County Surveyor's comments regarding the Vermont Avenue project, Respondent wrote a letter dated September 25, 2003 to Charles R.'s client Bob P, wherein Respondent misrepresented that he was not hired to perform a Boundary Survey on the Vermont Avenue project.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Breach of Contract)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(g) in that Respondent breached his contract to provide professional land surveying services in that he failed to prepare a Boundary Survey for the Vermont Avenue project as more specifically alleged above in paragraph 8, and incorporated herein.

///

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

/// 28

(Failed to Comply with Provisions of Land Surveyor's Act)

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(d) in that Respondent violated provisions of this chapter in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 476(c)(10) in that he falsely or maliciously injured or attempted to injure the reputation of another. The circumstances are as follows:
- After Respondent's refusal to address the County Surveyor's comments regarding the a. Vermont Avenue project, Respondent wrote a letter dated September 25, 2003 to Bob P., Charles R.'s client on the Vermont Avenue project, and called into question Charles R.'s competence as a land surveyor and made damaging statements that questioned Charles R.'s judgment.
- b. On September 25, 2003, Respondent also wrote a letter to Tony R. of RCE, Inc. and copied the letter to Homestead Development, Charles R.'s client. In the letter, Respondent states that he did not certify that the boundary work he performed on the Vermont Avenue property would be acceptable to the County of San Diego under their scrutiny of a record of survey review process. However, Respondent's agreement to perform a Boundary Survey obligates him to accurately establish the property boundaries in question. Respondent's letter implies that Charles R. erred in the preparation of the Record of Survey that he submitted to the County. This is false and damaging to Charles R.'s reputation.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Land Surveyor License Number L 7672, issued to David Alan Jolly:
- 2. Ordering David Alan Jolly to pay the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and

1	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: 2/14/10 Original Signed DAVIDE. BROWN
4	DAVID E. BROWN Executive Officer
5	Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors Department of Consumer Affairs
. 6	State of California Complainant
7	
8	SD2010800041
9	70284725.doc
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6